## Notes on a Meeting of Tatsfield Parish Council Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group held on Wednesday 20<sup>th</sup> October 2021

Present: Martin Allen (MA), Kim Jennings (KJ), Bob David (RD), Jill Hancock (JH), Nichola Stokoe (NS), Ian Mitchell (IM),Jason Syrett (JS),

There were 3parishioners present: Melissa Bellarby, Desmond and Margaret Bishop

The meeting commenced at 20:00

		Action
1.	Apologies had been received from Jim and Ruth Yeeles (RY), Paul	
	Jackson (PJ) and Jon Allbutt (JA).	
2.	Declaration of Interests – No interests declared.	
3.	The <b>Minutes of the Meeting held on11<sup>th</sup> November 2020</b> were	
	presented and signed online by MA.	
	By resolution, the Minutes were accepted and adopted as a true	
	record. The Minutes would be passed to the Parish Council (PC) for	Clerk / IM
	acceptance at its next meeting. In the meantime, IM would upload	RY
	these Minutes to the website as a draft copy and RY to Drop Box	
	Matters Arising	
	(a) Item 9a – para 3 – It was agreed that the period covered by the NP	
	would be $2017 - 2033$ .	
	(b) Item 9(b) (c) –JG comments still to be uploaded to topic papers but	
	they are on Dropbox.	
	(c) Item 10 - SEAP – Still not known whether this is needed. Unlikely	MA
	but MA will tell Maria (TDC) that the info was sent to Anna (TDC)	
	(d) Item 13 – Electric car charging – KJ will check progress with IH.	KJ
4.	Dropbox–RY had confirmed that Dropbox was still working correctly as	
	before the pandemic	
5.	Communication Strategy –	
	MA reported that notice of this meeting had been put on Tatsfield Talk	
	and the websites and an update will appear in the Parish Magazine in	
	November.	
6.	Admin – MA thanked JH for her work with the NP as she had decided	
	that she couldn't continue due to other commitments. Adverts had	
	been placed on Tatsfield Talk and the Parish Magazine for a	
	replacement.	
7.	Website – IM reported that there had been 42 viewings of the NP	
	website during the past month with 131 pages looked at.	
8.	Finance –KJreported that there was a very small budget left with TPC	
	which could be spent up to April 2022.	
	KJ queried whether the referendum on the NP would be paid for by	
	TDC or TPC. MA would investigate.	MA
9.	Update of Topic Groups:	
	a. Topic Group 1 – Housing/Planning	
	Copies of the amended Housing Topic Paper and comments from	
	Ana at TDC with replies had been circulated to the Steering Group.	
	It had been agreed that Housing Sites and Numbers should be	
	taken out of the NP but an abridged version of the Topic Paper	
	would be put in the DraftPlan. This would still need updating to	
	take in the updated 2021 NPPF and other changes.	
	IM asked as the TDC Local Plan was falling apart, how does this	

	affect the Tatsfield Plan.	
	<ul> <li>JS said that:</li> <li>1. There were links to the Local Plan in the Tatsfield NP but some of these had been based on the old Local Plan – do we change these to fit the updated plan or leave them as they are</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>considering the problems with the new one?</li><li>2. The Introduction states that it supports the Local Plan</li></ul>	
	It was agreed to look at references to the emerging Local Plan 3.3 onwards.	
	Mitchells 2-3 The Parade amended pre-application going ahead.	
b.	<b>Topic Group 2 – Community Facilities</b> MA said that the Topic Paper had been completed but probably needed looking at again in view of the Covid situation.	
c.	<b>Topic Group 3 - Local Economy</b> SS had sent a report showing effects of lockdown which would be included in the Topic Report, eg increased use of footpaths.	
d.	<b>Topic Group 4 – Environment – Built and Natural</b> Nothing further to report.	
e.	<b>Topic Group 5 - Transport and Infrastructure</b> No changes to the Topic Paper but perhaps needed revisiting in view of Covid.	
M/ To	rategic Environmental Assessment Plan A reported that a letter would be sent to TDC along with updated pic Papers. It is thought that without Housing an SEAP will not prove cessary but confirmation was needed on this.	MA
JS	aft Neighbourhood Plan had issued a new draft in January 2021 with updated maps and more otos provided by residents.	
inc we	e Housing section still needed finishing with a question of whether to clude sites brought forward that could be rural exception sites which ere assessed and met criteria. MA would circulate the updated busing Topic paper.	MA
eto	sign Section – Should it include more detail about roofs/windows, ? Probably a decision appropriate to mixed varieties in Tatsfield as Design Plan for Tatsfield.	
	mate Change and Sustainability section – Need objectives and draft licies.	
20 list	mmunity Aspirations – What would the Community like to achieve by 33? JS would send out the section to the group and other mailing is to get a list of aspirations. Article would be put in December Parish agazine and on Tatsfield Talk. Perhaps a virtual presentation?	JS
the	was suggested that members of the Steering Group take a section of e Draft NP to read carefully and amend with any updates since the oup last met. JS would circulate sections.	ZL

12. What do we do next from here?	
MA would send updated Topic Reports to IM for the website.	MA/IM
13. Sustainability for the Future in the NP	
This section would be filled out for inclusion in the NP. The article in the	
Parish Magazine will ask for someone to take on the responsibility for	
taking thison.	
14. Next Meetings:	
MA suggested that dates for the next 6 meetings be made so that	
impetus for completion of the NP could be maintained, and ensure	
Members' attendance.	
Tuesday 23 <sup>rd</sup> November 2021	
Wednesday 15 <sup>th</sup> December 2021	
Wednesday 19 <sup>th</sup> January 2022	
Wednesday 16 <sup>th</sup> February 2022	
Wednesday 16 <sup>th</sup> March 2022	
Wednesday 20 <sup>th</sup> April 2022	

MA/JH/10/21

..... Chair..... Date