## Notes on a Meeting of Tatsfield Parish Council Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group held on Wednesday 16<sup>th</sup>March 2022

Present: Martin Allen (MA), Bob David (RD), Kim Jennings (KJ) Jason Syrett (JS), Paul Jackson (PJ) Susan Smale (SS) There were Oparishioners present

The meeting commenced at 20:00

	Action
Apologies Apologies were received from Ruth and Jim Yeeles (RY) (JY) Nichola	
Stokoe (NS), Ian Mitchell (IM),	
1. <b>Declaration of Interests</b> – No interests declared.	
2. The Minutes of the Meeting held on Wednesday 16 <sup>th</sup> February 2022	
were presented and agreed.	
By resolution, the Minutes were accepted and adopted as a true	PC Clerk / IM
record. The Minutes would be passed to the Parish Council (PC) for	RY
acceptance at its next meeting. In the meantime, IM would upload	
theseMinutes to the website as a draft copy and RY to Drop Box.	
3. <b>Dropbox</b> –RY had confirmed that Dropbox was still working correctly	
and that they were putting together the information for the	RY
Consultation statement. The work was on going	
4. Update from the Public Consultation in the A.M.B. 12 <sup>th</sup> – 13 <sup>th</sup> March	
and general information .	
MA reported that the N.P. had been sent to 120 Statutory consultees,	
and notification of the event to 240 Tatsfield families by email approx.	
20 instances on Tatsfield Talk and the NP and TPC Chairmen had done a	
50 person leaflet drop in the village centre prior to the consultation	
Signs had been displayed around the village centre and copies of the	
Draft Plan in various businesses across the village as well as articles in	
the Parish Magazine, and the village websites.	
The weekend formed part of our commitment to consult the public	
for their thoughts on the Plan with over 30 people attending the	
consultation with a variety of helpful comments being made. But this was	
considered to be a disappointing number on a matter of this importance that the group had been working on for some years now.	
that the group had been working on for some years now.	
Comments from the Public Consultation included:-	
<ul> <li>Transport &amp; Infrastructure - Speed humps on Approach Road in to the</li> </ul>	
village, no more than 40mph	
<ul> <li>Congestion &amp; Parking in the Village Centre – Why not have a one way</li> </ul>	
system down Westmore and back out through Paynesfield	
<ul> <li>Community Aspiration _ Sand path up to Tatsfield Green</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>If ever the Ship came up for sale could it be converted in to a Nursing</li> </ul>	
Home to help keep older residents together. An easy access for bus.	
<ul> <li>Housing The conclusion even for good reasons is a disappointing result</li> </ul>	
for the young people of Tatsfield future in the village	
<ul> <li>Section 8 Target of 2050 much too long</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Wind or Solar energy should be a priority</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Housing any new houses should be sustainable and energy efficient</li> </ul>	
• A huge amount of work has been put in to this plan I fully support the	
plan. Please let me know if I can help in any way NR.	
<ul> <li>It's an impressive piece of work MS</li> </ul>	
Discussions were had around the above comments but the second states	
Discussions were had around the above comments but the conclusions were	

deferred to until our next meeting.	
There is still time for the people of Tatefield to have their say, before the	
There is still time for the people of Tatsfield to have their say, before the	
next stage where it is submitted to the Local Planning Authority,	
Tandridge District Council for examination.	
Managements in a second second from the fact that there is also also the 10 across that is a	
We can take encouragement from the fact that there is already 10 consultation	
responses online total as of this evening	
8 support the plan	
2 comment against	
1 paper form was received on Sunday. And the fact that the numbers on the	
website had been impressive in comparison to pre consultation days. See	
below.	
Thanks was expressed by the Chairman to evenuence that attended and	
Thanks was expressed by the Chairman to everyone that attended and	
particularly the Parish Council chairman for supplying the teas and coffees	
5. Admin Nothing to report but a replacement for JH is still needed	ALL
6. Website – IM reported Visitors	
in 2020 762 visits 15 a week	
in 2021 528 visits 10 a week	
this year to now 552 visits 55 a week.	
So far this month there have been 126, of whom 90 have been having a	
look at it since our sessions last weekend. Monday was the busiest day.	
Most people have been coming to the site via Facebook or the village	
website.	
7. Strategic Environmental Assessment - No response had yet been	
received from TDC as to whether it was needed or not.	AC
8. What we do next - Regulation 14 Consultation	
It was suggested that handouts be distributed at the Annual parish	
Meeting reminding those present that they could have one further	JS/MA
week to respond the Draft Plan, after which JS would input all of the	
comments in to the On Line system where reports could be printed	
from for our discussion.	
Continue the communication on TT until the end of consultation.	
9. Next Meetings:8pm in the AMB	
Wednesday 20 <sup>th</sup> April 2022	
Wednesday 18 <sup>th</sup> May	
The meeting closed at 9.20 pm	

MA/04/22

..... Chair..... Date