

<p>Page 24 Sect 02.4 – PJ to rewrite words to be more specific about areas being valuable – All character areas? JS to send PJ word document of section</p> <p>Page 30 – MA to check with TDC re Local Green Spaces - how they comply with criteria. IM and NS would look into notifying owners of LGSs – most owned by PC</p> <p>Page 32 Sect 02.6 Map – JS to adjust</p> <p>Page 38 policy TNP02A – Take out (a) JS to have a conversation with Anna</p> <p>Page 38 policy TNP02B – Remove (a) Name roads and add street map</p> <p>Page 38 policy TNP02C – Some duplication – reword to clarify policy</p> <p>Page 38 policy TNP02E – No change – explanatory note</p> <p>Page 39 policy TNP02G – Biodiversity Net Gain – add definition in Glossary. Add comments from responses on biodiversity.</p> <p>Page 40 policy TNP02H – Rephrase policy positively.</p> <p>Page 40 policy TNP02K – Be more explicit about what is in Defined Village or outside in light of John’s Road Residents Association comments</p> <p>Page 40 – refs to CS policies – Relevant? JS to check</p> <p>Page 42 Sects 03.3, 03.4, 03.5 – AECOM exclusion from NP – Talk with Anna before decision made. All to look at rest of housing comments</p> <p>Rest of TDC responses to be discussed at later meeting.</p> <p><u>(d) Responses from Statutory Consultees</u></p> <p>One objection to the plan had been received from Thames Water – Plan says objective is to have all pipes, etc underground. Thames Water point out that pumps etc have to be above ground. Plan to be amended. Use their words to reword TNOP4H</p> <p>Also response from SCC Historic Environment Team – lack of mention of archaeological sites in the area.</p>	<p>PJ/JS</p> <p>MA IM/NS</p> <p>JS</p> <p>JS</p> <p>JS</p> <p>JS</p> <p>JS</p> <p>JS</p> <p>JS</p> <p>JS MA/JS All</p> <p>JS</p>
<p>6. Website – IM reported that there had been 38 viewings of the NP website since 4 April 2022. 699 visitors had viewed the site this year compared with 528 for the whole of 2021. Between Jan and April 2021 there were 193 visitors.</p>	
<p>7. Strategic Environmental Assessment Plan – TDC Response</p> <p>SEA screening document concludes that the TNP does not need an SEA, together with some notes on NPs sent by the Environment Agency in response when TDC consulted them. Also HRA screening assessment from 2020 concludes that an assessment of the Plan under the Habitat Regulations is not required.</p>	
<p>8. What do we do next from here?</p> <p>MA to respond to Mike Pendleton email.</p> <p>Essentially:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) review and consider all the Reg 14 feedback - public, statutory and TDC b) decide what to amend c) write consultation statement (RY & JY has started this) d) write basic conditions statement e) amend and update the plan f) formally submit to TDC for the Regulation 16 consultation 	<p>MA</p>

9. Next Meeting: Wednesday 25th May 2022 at 8pm	
The meeting closed at 9.56pm	

MA/JH/04/22

..... Chair Date