



<p><b>Hyperlinks</b> – The Hyperlinks no longer work because TDC have restructured many of their webpages since the document was originally submitted. To avoid this happening again the links will be made to the TDC site only, not any sub section.</p>	JS
<p><b>Basic Conditions</b> – We will check and add the missing Condition as set out in the TDC response.</p>	JS
<p><b>Evidence</b> – We have done an enormous amount of work gaining evidence from all areas. This evidence formed part of the Email of 10.2.23 repeated to EA on 08.9.23. We will incorporate a link to the Neighbourhood Plan website, where Topic papers, S.W.O.T, surveys and public consultation information will be found. Will that be sufficient.</p>	JS JS EA ?
<p><b>Broad changes should be documented -</b> This information is all contained within the 23 page Consultation document, which will be updated to include the Local Green Spaces responses, as well as being included in the body of the Plan, Section 2 – 02.5</p>	MA
<p><b>Preparation of the Tatsfield neighbourhood Plan - Text Clarity</b> Amend to read “ and it’s officers THEN held meetings “ ..... <b>Our vision</b> – To review so it is clearer</p>	JS IM/KJ
<p><b>Update re Local Plan examination –</b></p> <p>Add. As at 25<sup>th</sup> July 2023 the Tandridge Local Plan remains delayed and it is uncertain whether it will proceed to adoption following a feedback received from the Planning Inspector at Examination. Interested parties should stay in contact with the situation on the Tandridge District Council website for the latest and the current position.</p>	JS
<p><b>01.3 Context</b> - Use hectares as well as or instead of acres throughout The plan. Agreed</p>	JS
<p><b>02.1 Objectives</b> first line change 8 and 9 to 10 and 11 – Agreed and check other references to: - for accuracy.</p>	JS
<p><b>02.3 Designations and policy context</b> Maps are hard to interpret – Improve – Agreed</p>	JS
<p><b>02.5 Local Green Spaces</b> Maps are hard to interpret – Improve – Agreed Could add a key saying why green boundaries differ from non- red boundaries in the maps. Amend to address the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) on Green Spaces - Agreed</p>	JS JS
<p><b>02.10 Notable views</b> Photo 5 replace photo to look more like a view</p>	PJ/JS
<p><b>02.13 Built and Natural Environment Policies</b> <b>TNP02B</b> Amend “plan “to read “Development Plan” as it will be considered against all development plan policies. <b>TNP02C</b> would be helpful if the areas were named and mapped so, reference the maps being in Section 02.5 <b>TNP02E</b> Add “MUGA” to Map in section 02.5 and cross reference <b>TNP02F</b> Remove section A and replace with Officers suggestion A and incorporate Section B0 of the policy in to A) to make the policy clearer. <b>TNP02G</b> Consider new landscaping schemes , to review and amend <b>TNP02K</b> The document “ Dark skies” has been revised so this reference is now out of date. The latest version is provided here:</p>	IM/JS JS JS JS JS JS

<https://theilp.org.uk/publication/guidance-note-1-for-the-reduction-of-obtrusivelight-2021/> add a phrase like 'or subsequent versions' or say the latest guidance from...

JS

### 03 Housing

The question was asked - Why two to three not one bed? Does any evidence support this? A consultation response said bungalows and you could add this too or refer to it? Provide evidence for your decisions as to what to include in the plan.

**TNP 03A and 03B** Add one bedroom and bungalow

JS

NOTE: Steering group considered we had sufficient evidence to prioritise. Details can be found in Dropbox files.

JS

**TNP 03A and 03B** Tighten wording. Reconsider exactly what the group wants to see in the village. Does this overlap with other development plan policies? Reduce policy wording where considered duplicate  
Use 'impact' not 'effect' in last part.

### 04 Designs

#### 04.5 Design policies TNOP4A - H

Reduce policies where any overlap occurs within the policies and check policies do not overlap with other areas of legislation. Especially Development on land that floods/may flood.

JS

### 05 Transport and Infrastructure

Avoid addressing issues in different places in the plan. It looks like SuDS should be dealt with here but they are addressed later on. Avoiding revisiting subjects could help.

**TNP 05C** Development on land is a local issue in Tatsfield, especially with run off down the large quantity of unmade roads settling in the south of the village. May be duplicated (above) but leave it in.

### 07 Community facilities

**TNP 07A** The word Aileen is on the wrong line. Corrected  
Could add school MUGA here? MUGA is included on 07.2

JS

**TNP 07B Amend to** Development plan instead of neighbourhood plan.

### 08. Climate change and Sustainability

#### TNP 08C - Sustainable Transport

Add Cycle Parking – The Parish Council have been working on parking in the village and will take the opportunity to add it to the plan that it is being rewritten.

JS

Following items were raised by TDC Officer(s) and will be discussed by Tatsfield Parish Council as part of the ongoing Plan in to the future.

#### Date of next plan.

It may be useful if the plan is reviewed more quickly than this. The Parish Council is advised to consider how long it will last and consider leaving this more open/consider reviewing it after 3 years? The Parish Council is advised to think about setting up a way to monitor the plan and whether it wants to make a way to record any changes that could be looked at in the future. Could be done by Parish Council when considering any planning applications? Consider keeping a copy of the plan available and adding handwritten annotations in this when issues are identified. Another way is to set up an email address that people can send emails to when they have comments. This means they are available when reviewing the plan.

TPC

11.2 Suggests a three year interval

<p>Add <b>monitoring</b>  11.2 already suggests a system of monitoring</p> <p><b>HRA/SEA Report</b>  Delete reference to <u>basic conditions</u> in this – it is unclear why this section is included. Looks like an error? - Agreed Delete</p>	<p>TPC</p> <p>JS</p>
<p><b>6. Website –</b></p>	<p>IM</p>
<p><b>7. What do we do next from here?</b>  <b>As above by date of next meeting.</b></p>	
<p><b>8. Next Meeting:</b>  <b>Thursday 12<sup>th</sup> October at 8pm in the A.M.B.</b></p>	
<p>The meeting closed at 10.08</p>	

MA/JH/02/23

.....*MCU Allen*..... Chair 12<sup>th</sup> October 2023 Date