Titsey Place,

Limpsfield,

Surrey.

25th. March, 1930.

The Clerk, Godstone Rural District Council, Council Offices, Oxted.

Dear Sir,

Titsey Parish Meeting. March, 24th. 1930.

The following is a copy of the Resolution passed at the above Meeting held yesterday evening at which a large number of Parishioners were present, in reference to the suggested amalgamation of the Parishes of Titsey and Tatsfield made by the Tatsfield Parish Council under Section 46 of the Local Government Act of 1929.

"That this Meeting most strongly protests against the suggestion raised by the Tatsfield Parish Council for amalgamation with the Parish of Titsey under Section 46 of the Local Government Act 1929 and calls upon its Local Government Representative, Capt. Bernard Thorpe to strongly resist any such proposal that may be placed before the Godstone Rural District Council and to do all in his power to defeat such a proposal. They would further point out (a) on Educational grounds:-

17 children attend Limpsfield Schools

10 children attend Tatsfield Schools and 3 children attend Chelsham Schools

from this Parish and that the majority of houses in the Parish of Titsey are nearer to Limpsfield and it is more than probable that a larger number of children may in the near future attend Limpsfield Schools.

(b) That owing to the geographical situation of the two Parishes (the North Downs separating them) community of interest is practically non-existent.

(c) That the Titsey rates are at present lower than those of Tatsfield and it is anticipated that a large expenditure upon Roads and other services is likely to occur in the near future in Tatsfield from thich the Parish of Titsey would derive no benefit whatever, whilst their rates would

Yours faithfully,

(SGD.) G.C.G. LEVESON GOWER. Chairman, Titsey Parish Meeting.

TITSEY ESTATE COMPANY.

ESTATE OFFICE, TITSEY,

LIMPSFIELD.

29th. March, 1930.

Dear Sir,

I have pleasure in herewith enclosing a letter signed by Mr. Leveson Gower as Chairman of the Titsey Parish Meeting, regarding the proposed amalgamation of the Parishes of Titsey and Tatsfield. In addition to the reasons given in the letter I should also like to point out that Titsey is the larger of the two Parishes, its area being 1989 acres against Tatsfield's 1304.

I should also like to stress the point that the largest portion of the Parish lies below the North Downs and this in itself, is against any community of interest between the two Parishes.

Yours faithfully,

(Sgd.) BERNARD THORPE.

Chris. Phillips Esq., Council Offices, Oxted.

The Rural District Council of Godstone. Comprising the Parishes of BLETCHINGLEY, CHELSHAM, CROWHURST, FARLEIGH, GODSTONE, HORNE. LIMPSFIELD. LINGFIELD. OXTED. TANDRIDGE. TATSFIELD. TITSEY. & WOLDINGHAM. Council Offices. Oxted, Lurrey. CHRISTOPHER PHILLIPS. CLERK OF THE COUNCIL. 30th. April, 1930. Dear Sir, Local Government Act, 1929. Section 46.
First General Review of Districts by County Council With reference to the letter of your Parish Council of the 5th. March last, recommending that the Parishes of Tatsfield and Titsey should be amalgamated, I enclose herewith a copy of a communication which has been received from the Titsey Parish Meeting strongly protesting against the suggestion. The Rural District Council have sent copies of the letters received from the Tatsfield Parish Council and the Titsey Parish Meeting to the Surrey County Council with an intimation that the Rural District Council consider that no case has been made out for any change. Yours faithfully, Olivery's Clerk. Mr. James Scott, Clerk to Tatsfield Parish Council, Mara Villas, Tatsfield, Surrey.