

## **Report From Cllr Steel – ECC and CCC for the Monthly Meeting 15<sup>th</sup> August 2022**

### **ECC Report:**

#### **East Anglia GREEN – from Norwich to Tilbury - UPDATE**

**Joint news release: Essex County Council, Norfolk County Council, Suffolk County Council**

##### **County councils unanimously agree “no” to damaging pylons proposal**

Authorities in Essex and Suffolk have united to condemn the current proposals for a 180km network of 50m tall electricity pylons between Norwich, Bramford and Tilbury. Essex County Council and Suffolk County Council have passed motions at their full council meetings in July, to oppose the East Anglia GREEN proposal put forward by National Grid.

Norfolk County Council is expected to consider the motion at its next full council meeting, following a planned debate yesterday (19 July 2022) being postponed. The councils agree that the plan for pylons is destructive and out-dated, and will cause irreversible damage to the countryside, wildlife habitats and local communities.

A new electricity network is needed to transmit the ambitious 50 GW of offshore wind that the Government aims to be delivered by 2030, and to connect new projects like Sizewell C. So much of this ambition falls on East Anglia, to provide energy for much of the country.

The councils fully support the need to generate this renewable and low carbon electricity, to meet local and national Net Zero ambitions. However, they believe that more suitable, sustainable and modern alternatives for the network have not been properly investigated and presented, such as undersea cable routes around the coastline of East Anglia.

Formalising their long-standing positions on the East Anglia GREEN proposal, the two councils join a group of 13 MPs who recently signed a letter criticising the consultation, along with a growing public petition with thousands of signatures.

##### **Councillor Kevin Bentley, Leader of Essex County Council, said:**

“We are incredibly disappointed that this initial, non-statutory consultation explored just one option: a disruptive network of overground cables. It was presented in such a way that this is the only option, and that it is a done deal. I would like to assure our residents in Essex, and our friends in Norfolk and Suffolk, that is certainly not a done deal, and together we will oppose the current proposal at every opportunity and pressure for alternative solutions to be fully detailed.”

##### **Councillor Andrew Proctor, Leader of Norfolk County Council, said:**

“It is ironic that the current proposals by National Grid threaten significant and totally unacceptable impacts on Norfolk’s communities, businesses and cherished landscape. 50-year old, carbon-heavy technology is being proposed to deliver a clean energy solution. I have written to both National Grid and the energy minister, laying out our concerns and urging him to consider offshore and underground alternatives, that could allow the clean energy from the North Sea to join the National Grid without the disproportionate impacts that overhead cables could have on our county. I look forward to the motion being heard in the council chamber.”

## **Report From Cllr Steel – ECC and CCC for the Monthly Meeting 15<sup>th</sup> August 2022**

### **Councillor Matthew Hicks, Leader of Suffolk County Council, said:**

“We are sending a strong message with the councils coming together to reject this completely unacceptable project to get electricity onshore. There is significant momentum against the pylon proposal from our residents, businesses, and our MPs. There has been no clear and detailed information presented on alternatives, particularly an offshore solution. In Suffolk, we have been lobbying for strategic electricity networks since 2011 and we will continue stand firm alongside our neighbours to ensure that Suffolk, Norfolk, and Essex are not damaged by the serious shortcomings in these current plans.”

National Grid completed the East Anglia GREEN non-statutory consultation in June and later this year, it is anticipated they will publish a report outlining the key themes from the feedback received and explain the next steps. They expect the first statutory consultation to take place in early 2023, with an application to the Planning Inspectorate in late 2024.

### **20 is Plenty Campaign**

I have received info on the 20 is Plenty Campaign, from some of my Parish Councils. Therefore, I have spoken to Safer Essex Road Partnership (SERP) to ask their view on this. They are in the process of updating the Functional Route Hierarchy Review. Once completed, this will be followed by the revision of the Essex Speed Management Strategy.

I understand that the original hierarchy was established in 2005 and is well out of date and doesn't reflect the aims of the authority now in terms of sustainable travel, etc. Quite a few of us Council Members are keen that the Speed Management Strategy is reviewed and updated, but the hierarchy needs to be completed first

I understand from SERP that Ringway Jacobs are looking at options on the hierarchy, based around TfL/Hertfordshire “place and movement” approach, and this has been shared with the Highways Cabinet member, Cllr Lee Scott, where there were recommendations to pursue the impacts of the changing of the hierarchy and looking at how the hierarchy can be delivered.

I have also requested an update from Cllr Scott stating that this has been going on for some and is in danger of being taken over by events such as 20mph in Wales and strong lobbying by the “20 is Plenty for Us” group. I have received an acknowledgement from Cllr Scott and a promise of an update. However, I think that the response will eventually be that the Functional Route Hierarchy Review and Essex Speed Management Strategy need to be completed before a view is given on whether ECC supports the universal 20mph campaign, as opposed to the current selective approach.

### **Highways:**

#### **Barrack Lane:**

I have queried the “off-road” verge on Barrack Lane, asking if it is an official passing place, and could it be submitted to the Member Led pothole scheme. I received the response:

*“I can advise that this section of carriageway is not considered to form part of the maintainable highway. The map attached shows the extent of the highway in yellow. In answer to your question regarding ownership, I have contacted the Land Registry and unfortunately this land is unregistered so I am unable to advise who is responsible for the maintenance of this area of overrun/verge. The Parish Council may hold details.”*

## **Report From Cllr Steel – ECC and CCC for the Monthly Meeting 15<sup>th</sup> August 2022**

I have further asked questions about whether Highways has any responsibility for this area as a verge.

### **B1008**

It was suggested that DfT be contacted in relation to the B1008 through Ford End and its status as a back-up for the A130. I have spoken to the Transportation Planning & Infrastructure Manager at ECC Highways whose initial reaction was that DfT would not contribute any funds to a speed camera. I followed up on the verbal conversation with a request to ask DfT to downgrade the road so it was no longer a back-up route. This is the response I received:

*“As you are aware the issue with the B1008 has been around now ever since the reclassification on the section of A130 from the A131 to Great Dunmow was undertaken.*

*When DfT approved the reclassification they did heavily caveat that the section from the A131 to Great Dunmow was required to remain available for all traffic as it was the route that traffic would expect to use if there was any event on the A120 or A131, that meant that no physical traffic calming, or weight limits could be applied. The situation to be reviewed when the Chelmsford Northeast bypass was completed. We have previously investigated speed cameras and there is not a speeding issue or a collision problem therefore no justification. Traffic calming will create more issues than it solves, may increase emissions and noise as well as possible ground borne vibration.*

*I appreciate this is not new as such to you but reflects where we are at present.”*

### **Chelmsford City Council Report**

Chelmsford City Council is consulting a review of its adopted Local Plan.

The consultation is called the Issues and Options Consultation, and the formal stage is called Regulation 18. The consultation is open for comments from **10am on Thursday 11 August to 4pm on Thursday 6 October 2022**. This is the first opportunity for residents, businesses, developers, and other interested parties to get involved in the review.

We adopted our current Local Plan in May 2020. We need to review the plan at least every five years, to see if we need to update it. We want to make sure that we are planning for new housing, jobs, and infrastructure to meet local needs until 2041.

We are consulting on the following two documents:

- Issues and Options Consultation Document
- Issues and Options Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) Consultation Document

### **More information**

- [Visit our website](#) for further information
- [Read our newsletter](#) which summaries the consultation
- [Visit our online exhibition](#), which covers the key consultation topics
- Meet with planning staff face-to-face at a public drop-in exhibition (see the [consultation statement](#) for details)

If you have any queries please contact us: [planning.policy@chelmsford.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@chelmsford.gov.uk) or call 01245 606330.

## **Report From Cllr Steel – ECC and CCC for the Monthly Meeting 15<sup>th</sup> August 2022**

### **Planning:**

Bloor Homes site in Broomfield and Little Waltham - 9 August 2022 Planning Committee - considered 2 connected planning applications:

- **STRATEGIC GROWTH SITE NORTH OF WOODHOUSE LANE, BROOMFIELD, CHELMSFORD – 20/02064/OUT**
- **BROOMFIELD HOSPITAL, HOSPITAL APPROACH, BROOMFIELD, CHELMSFORD – 21/00881/FUL**

This was for:

- Outline application for residential development for up to 512 dwellings
- Formation of a new link road between Woodhouse Lane and Broomfield Hospital,

Main concerns by local PCs and residents was the impact on traffic, Education services and Health services.

A number of measures are included in the application to help mitigate these:

### **Highways and transportation**

- Northeast by-pass contribution of £2.5million.
- Cycle/footbridge over Essex Regiment Way contribution of £0.4million
- Junction improvements contribution of £1m .
- Great Waltham to Chelmsford cycle route improvements contribution of £175,000 towards completion of section 2 between Goulton Road and the Hospital Link Road .
- Cycle route south from new access roundabout contribution of £300,000 towards a cycle route between the site access roundabout and Croxton Lane .
- Payment of a commuted maintenance sum for hospital link road.
- Closure/downgrading/alterations to Woodhouse Lane.
- Developer to provide a bus service to the site to the specification of the highway authority prior to occupation of the 60<sup>th</sup> dwelling.
- Residential Travel Plan (including car club scheme) annual monitoring fee of £2660 until a year after full occupation.
- Restriction on occupations until the new access roundabout, the spine road, works to Woodhouse
- Lane, cycle facilities and bus stop infrastructure have been carried out and a certificate of completion

### **Education:**

- Early years – provide an early years and childcare nursery. Obligations to provide the land required for this (0.13 hectares) and a financial contribution towards the facility
- Primary Education – a financial contribution of £2,585,000.
- Secondary Education - a financial contribution of £2,094,036 is required to mitigate the impact of the development on local secondary school provision.

### **Health:**

- Provision of land for a health facility with space for the new building appropriate access, parking and servicing arrangements to accommodate a building of around 1,000 sq. m
- Contribution of £253,900 [£496.18 per dwelling] to support the development proposal.
- Community Facility - A community facility to be provided within the health facility building.

## **Report From Cllr Steel – ECC and CCC for the Monthly Meeting 15<sup>th</sup> August 2022**

The link road, which is at the end of the new Spine access road, goes over Health Trust Land. The intention was for it to just allow staff and suppliers to access the hospital grounds via this route with a controlled barrier. At the last minute (the day of the committee), the Trust withdrew their support for the contribution proposed by the developer to maintain the link road. ECC highways had already approved this approach, so the meeting was thrown into a last minute debate on alternatives (developer funded bus from the P&R for staff and patients) but the committee decided to conditionally approve both applications on the basis that the issues around the link road could be resolved between the developer and the Trust.

### **Planning Appeal:**

**LOCATION: Land East Of Essex Regiment Way Hyde Hall Lane Great Waltham Chelmsford Essex**

**PROPOSAL: Agricultural storage building providing secure lockable store for machinery and equipment used on the holding and open bays for hay storage and for keeping equipment under cover**

The appeal has been dismissed. The decision notice can be viewed on our website at <https://publicaccess.chelmsford.gov.uk>.