

**ORIGINAL**

**Table 2 Proposed settlement hierarchy and housing development**

Category	Description	Settlements	Amount of housing development	
			Total	Made up of
Strategic settlements	The most sustainable towns and villages in the district and the focus for the majority of development. These settlements act as service centres for other villages around them. The plan will allocate sites at strategic settlements	Aylesbury Buckingham Haddenham Wendover/Halton Camp Winslow	16,398 <sup>1</sup> 2,359 1,051 1,128 1,166 (TOTAL 22,102)	8,588 completions/commitments, 7,810 allocated 1,509 completions/commitments, 850 allocated 736 completions/commitments, 315 allocated 128 completions/commitments, 1,000 allocated 581 completions/commitments, 585 allocated
Land adjacent to Milton Keynes	Allocation of land adjoining Milton Keynes that falls within Aylesbury Vale district	Sites within the parish of Newton Longville.	2,212	357 completions/commitments, 1,855 allocated
Larger villages	Larger, more sustainable villages that have at least reasonable access to facilities and services and public transport, making them sustainable locations for development. The plan allocates sites at some of the larger villages	Aston Clinton Edlesborough Ivinghoe Long Crendon Pitstone Steeple Claydon Stoke Mandeville Stone (including Hartwell) Waddesdon (including Fleet Marston) Whitchurch Wing Wingrave	627 176 18 100 199 216 128 36 166  63 125 109 (TOTAL 1,963)	627 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 116 completions/commitments, 60 allocated 18 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 77 completions/commitments, 23 allocated 199 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 98 completions/commitments, 118 allocated 128 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 26 completions/commitments, 10 allocated 91 completions/commitments, 75 allocated  41 completions/commitments, 22 allocated 125 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 109 completions/commitments, no allocated sites

<sup>1</sup> This includes some figures for Stoke Mandeville, Bierton and Weston Turville parishes.

Category	Description	Settlements	Amount of housing development	
Medium villages	Medium villages have some provision key services and facilities, making them moderately sustainable locations for development. The plan allocates some sites at medium villages	Bierton (including Broughton) Brill Cheddington Cuddington Gawcott Great Horwood Grendon Underwood Ickford Maids Moreton Marsh Gibbon Marsworth Newton Longville North Marston Padbury Quainton Stewkley Stoke Hammond Tingewick Weston Turville	23 6 107 25 8 74 42 23 171 47 15 48 8 48 59 101 149 105 36 (TOTAL 1,095)	23 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 6 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 107 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 4 completions/commitments, 21 allocated 8 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 74 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 42 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 3 completions/commitments, 20 allocated 1 completion/commitment, 170 allocated 38 completions/commitments, 9 allocated 15 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 31 completions/commitments, 17 allocated 8 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 48 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 22 completions/commitments, 37 allocated 101 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 149 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 105 completions/commitments, no allocated sites 36 completions/commitments, no allocated sites
Smaller villages	Smaller, less sustainable villages which have relatively poor access to services and facilities. It is expected that some small scale development could be accommodated at smaller villages without causing unreasonable harm. This level of development is also likely to help maintain existing communities. Sites at smaller villages will come forward either through neighbourhood plans or by individual 'windfall'	Adstock Akeley Ashendon Aston Abbotts Beachampton Bishopstone Buckland Calvert Green Chackmore Charndon Chearsley	To come forward through neighbourhood plans or through the development management process considered against relevant policies in the Plan	

Category	Description	Settlements	Amount of housing development
	<p>planning applications, no site allocations are made at smaller villages</p>	<p>Chilton  Cublington  Dagnall  Dinton  Drayton Parslow  East Claydon  Ford  Granborough  Great Brickhill  Halton  Hardwick  Ivinghoe Aston  Little Horwood  Ludgershall  Mentmore and Ledburn  Mursley  Nash  Northall  Oakley  Oving (including Pitchcott)  Preston Bissett  Shabbington  Slapton  Soulbury  Stowe and Dadford  Swanbourne  Thornborough  Turweston  Twyford  Weedon  Westbury  Westcott</p>	

Category	Description	Settlements	Amount of housing development
		Whaddon Worminghall	
Other settlements	The remainder of settlements in the district which are not sustainable locations for development and are places where it is likely that any development would cause harm to the local environment. Some very limited development could take place in accordance with the policies in this plan, but no allocations for housing will be made	All remaining settlements (listed in the settlement hierarchy document)	To come forward through neighbourhood plans or through the development management process considered against relevant policies in the Plan

## MODIFIED

**Table 2 Proposed settlement hierarchy and housing development**

Category	Description	Settlements	Amount of housing development		
			Total	Made up of Completions and commitments	Allocations
Strategic settlements	The most sustainable towns and villages in the district and the focus for the majority of development. These settlements act as service centres for other villages around them. The plan will allocate sites at strategic settlements	Aylesbury	<u>16,586</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>13,047</u>	<u>3,539</u>
		Buckingham	<u>2,166</u>	<u>1,616</u>	<u>550</u>
		Haddenham	<u>1,032</u>	<u>763</u>	<u>269</u>
		Wendover/Halton Camp	<u>1,132</u>	<u>132</u>	<u>1,000</u>
		Winslow	<u>897</u>	<u>582</u>	<u>315</u>
		(TOTAL)	<u>21,813</u>		
<u>North east Aylesbury Vale</u>	Allocation of land adjoining Milton Keynes that falls within Aylesbury Vale district	Sites within the parishes of Newton Longville, Stoke Hammond and Whaddon.	<u>3,362</u>	<u>2,212</u>	<u>1,150</u>
Larger villages	Larger, more sustainable villages that have at least reasonable access to facilities and services and public transport, making them sustainable locations for development. The plan allocates sites at some of the larger villages	Aston Clinton	<u>625</u>	<u>625</u>	<u>0</u>
		Edlesborough	<u>177</u>	<u>177</u>	<u>0</u>
		Ivinghoe	<u>26</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>0</u>
		Long Crendon	<u>103</u>	<u>103</u>	<u>0</u>
		Pitstone	<u>199</u>	<u>199</u>	<u>0</u>
		Steeple Claydon	<u>293</u>	<u>293</u>	<u>0</u>
		Stoke Mandeville	<u>284</u>	<u>284</u>	<u>0</u>
		Stone (including Hartwell)	<u>54</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>26</u>
		Waddesdon (including Fleet Marston)	<u>192</u>	<u>192</u>	<u>0</u>
		Whitchurch	<u>82</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>22</u>
		Wing	<u>125</u>	<u>125</u>	<u>0</u>
		Wingrave	<u>111</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>0</u>
		(TOTAL)	<u>2,271</u>		
Medium villages	Medium villages have some provision key services and	Bierton (including Broughton)	<u>23</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>0</u>

<sup>2</sup> This includes some figures for Stoke Mandeville, Bierton and Weston Turville parishes.

Category	Description	Settlements	Amount of housing development		
	facilities, making them moderately sustainable locations for development. The plan allocates some sites at medium villages	Brill Cheddington Cuddington Gawcott Great Horwood Grendon Underwood Ickford Maids Moreton Marsh Gibbon Marsworth Newton Longville North Marston Padbury Quinton Stewkley Stoke Hammond Tingewick Weston Turville	<u>5</u> <u>112</u> <u>28</u> <u>15</u> <u>75</u> <u>56</u> <u>33</u> <u>185</u> <u>60</u> <u>32</u> <u>53</u> <u>9</u> <u>51</u> <u>58</u> <u>100</u> <u>209</u> <u>108</u> <u>70</u> (TOTAL <u>1,282</u> )	<u>5</u> <u>112</u> <u>5</u> <u>15</u> <u>75</u> <u>56</u> <u>3</u> <u>15</u> <u>60</u> <u>32</u> <u>36</u> <u>9</u> <u>51</u> <u>21</u> <u>100</u> <u>209</u> <u>108</u> <u>70</u>	<u>0</u> <u>0</u> <u>23</u> <u>0</u> <u>0</u> <u>0</u> <u>30</u> <u>170</u> <u>0</u> <u>0</u> <u>17</u> <u>0</u> <u>0</u> <u>37</u> <u>0</u> <u>0</u> <u>0</u> <u>0</u>
Smaller villages	Smaller, less sustainable villages which have relatively poor access to services and facilities. It is expected that some small scale development could be accommodated at smaller villages without causing unreasonable harm. This level of development is also likely to help maintain existing communities. Sites at smaller villages will come forward either through neighbourhood plans or by individual 'windfall' planning applications, no site allocations are made at smaller villages	Adstock Akeley Ashendon Aston Abbots Beachampton Bishopstone Buckland Calvert Green Chackmore Charndon Chearsley Chilton Cublington Dagnall Dinton	To come forward through neighbourhood plans or through the development management process considered against relevant policies in the Plan		

Category	Description	Settlements	Amount of housing development
		Drayton Parslow East Claydon Ford Granborough Great Brickhill Halton Hardwick Ivinghoe Aston Little Horwood Ludgershall Mentmore and Ledburn Mursley Nash Northall Oakley Oving (including Pitchcott) Preston Bissett Shabbington Slapton Soulbury Stowe and Dadford Swanbourne Thornborough Turweston Twyford Weedon Westbury Westcott Whaddon Worminghall	
Other settlements	The remainder of settlements in the district which are not sustainable locations for development and are places where it is likely that any development would cause harm to the local environment. Some very limited development could take place in accordance	All remaining settlements (listed in the settlement hierarchy document)	To come forward through neighbourhood plans or through the development management process considered against relevant policies in the Plan

Category	Description	Settlements	Amount of housing development
	with the policies in this plan, but no allocations for housing will be made		